## Profile Likelihood

## W. Nash<sup>1</sup>, B. Stone<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of California Los Angeles

November 3, 2017

W. Nash, B. Stone (UCLA)

Profile Likelihood

November 3, 2017 1 / 12

- Let's consider a model with 4 parameters  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4)$  which predicts how often a face will be rolled from a 4 sided die.
- The parameters of our model will be simply the probability of each face being rolled in one throw

$$P(1|\mu) = \mu_1 \quad P(3|\mu) = \mu_3 P(2|\mu) = \mu_2 \quad P(4|\mu) = \mu_4$$

• For collecting our data, we simply roll the die N number of times, and find  $n_1$  total times a 1 is rolled, etc. So we have

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{4} n_i \qquad 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mu_i \qquad (1)$$

## The Likelihood Function

• Without any data, the probability for getting  $n_1$  1's,  $n_2$  2's and  $n_3$  3's and  $n_4$  4's in N total throws is given by the multinomial distribution

$$P(\mathbf{n}|\mu, N) = \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} \mu_1^{n_1} \mu_2^{n_2} \mu_3^{n_3} \mu_4^{n_4}$$

Where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)$ .

In general, if we have made an observation, we can consider its
 Likelihood as being a function of our model's parameters, instead a function of the data we observed

$$L(\mu) = P(\mathbf{n}|\mu, N)$$

 In our case, the different n's are what we observe (fixed) giving us a Likelihood function of

$$L(\mu) = \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} \mu_1^{n_1} \mu_2^{n_2} \mu_3^{n_3} \mu_4^{n_4}$$

We can look at the logarithm of the likelihood function since it gives us the same answer as otherwise and we only care about differences.

$$F = -2\ln L = -2\left[\ln\left(\frac{N!}{n_1!n_2!n_3!n_4!}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i \ln \mu_i\right]$$
(2)

Since we have a constraint that the probabilities must add to 1, we can use Lagrange multipliers to solve for the maximally likely  $\hat{\mu}_i$ 

$$F = -2 \Big[ \ln \Big( \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} \Big) + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i \ln \mu_i \Big] + \alpha \Big[ \sum_{i=1}^4 \mu_i - 1 \Big]$$
(3)

Taking the derivative with respect to  $\mu_i$ , and solving for  $\alpha$  in the standard way<sup>1</sup>, we find the maximum likelihood estimates  $\hat{\mu}_i$ , for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 to be

$$\hat{\mu}_i = \frac{n_i}{N} \tag{4}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>in backup

W. Nash, B. Stone (UCLA)

Let's suppose that we only care about  $\mu_1$ , and take the rest as nuisance parameters. We let  $\hat{\mu}_i$  for  $i \neq 1$  be the ML estimate given  $\mu_1$ . In order to find the confidence interval, we need to find

$$\lambda = L(\mu_1, \hat{\hat{\mu}}_{i\neq 1}) / L(\hat{\mu})$$
(5)

Where  $\lambda$  is called the **likelihood ratio**. A quick note on the notation:

Vector	Description
$\mu$	The parameter(s) of interest, we can freely change these
$\hat{\mu}$	The maximum likelihood estimate for the parameter(s),
	coordinate of the bottom of the "well"
$\hat{\hat{\mu}}_{i  eq 1}$	The nuisance parameters that gives us the maximum likelihood
	constrained to a value of $\mu_1$

We already have  $L(\hat{\mu})$  from just plugging in equation (4), but now we need to find  $\hat{\mu}_{i\neq 1}$ . We do it in roughly the same way, but only maximize with respect to some of the parameters<sup>2</sup>, we find that

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\hat{\mu}_1}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{1-\mu_1}{1-\hat{\mu}_1}\right)^{N-n_1}$$
(6)

So we have that our profile likelihood function

$$-2\ln\lambda = -2\left[n_1\ln\frac{\mu_1}{\hat{\mu}_1} + (N - n_1)\ln\left(\frac{1 - \mu_1}{1 - \hat{\mu}_1}\right)\right]$$
(7)

Where our maximum likelihood estimate is

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{n_1}{N} \tag{8}$$

<sup>2</sup>in backup

W. Nash, B. Stone (UCLA)

We are now fully prepped to draw the likelihood well and find the confidence interval. I wrote some code that randomly rolled N = 100 dice, giving

$$n_1 = 26$$
 (9)

$$n_2 = 27$$
 (10)

$$n_3 = 24$$
 (11)

$$n_4 = 23$$
 (12)

I then wrote some code to plotted the log-likelihood ratio we found with

$$-2\ln\lambda = -2\left[26\ln\frac{\mu_1}{0.26} + 74\ln\left(\frac{1-\mu_1}{0.74}\right)\right]$$
(13)

Moving in small steps around the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\hat{\mu}_1 = 0.26$ , we can find how the curve looks

-2 $\Delta$  ln L vs  $\mu_1$ 



W. Nash, B. Stone (UCLA)

November 3, 2017 8 / 1

500

ſ	nu1	=	0.2165	chi2	=	1.06685	mu1	=	0.302	chi2	=	0.86104
ſ	nu1	=	0.218	chi2	=	0.991428	mu1	=	0.3035	chi2	=	0.921795
ſ	nu1	=	0.2195	chi2	=	0.919015	mu1	=	0.305	chi2	=	0.984507
ſ	nu1	=	0.221	chi2	=	0.849577	mu1	=	0.3065	chi2	=	1.04917
ſ	nu1	=	0.2225	chi2	=	0.783084	mu1	=	0.308	chi2	=	1.11576

Looking at the numerical values in the plot, we can determine our 68% confidence interval for  $\mu_1$  to be

$$[0.218, 0.305] \tag{14}$$

This tells us that for any of the  $\mu_1$ 's within the interval, our data would fall within the 68% acceptance interval of  $\mu_1$  (approximately by Wilk's theorem).

## Backup

Equation (4) derivation: The function we want to maximize is

$$F = -2\left[\ln\left(\frac{N!}{n_1!n_2!n_3!n_4!}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i \ln \mu_i\right] + \alpha\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 \mu_i - 1\right]$$
(15)

We do this by taking the derivative with respect to one of the  $\mu_i$ 's

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu_i} = \frac{n_i}{\mu_i} + \alpha = 0 \to \boxed{\hat{\mu}_i = -\frac{n_i}{\alpha}}$$
(16)

This tells us the **maximum likelihood estimate** for our parameter  $\mu_i$ , but we still don't know  $\alpha$ , so we to plug these back into our constraint equation. In the case that all the parameters are maximized, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{\mu}_i - 1 = -\frac{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4}{\alpha} - 1$$
(17)

This tells us  $\alpha = -N$  and therefore

10 / 12

Equation (6) derivation: We are looking for  $\hat{\mu}_{i\neq 1}$  which by definition maximizes the log likelihood function for a given  $\mu_1$ . Similar to how we found equation (4), we want to maximize

$$\mathsf{F} = -2\Big[\ln\Big(\frac{N!}{n_1!n_2!n_3!n_4!}\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i \ln\mu_i\Big] + \beta\Big[\sum_{i=1}^4 \mu_i - 1\Big]$$
(19)

Where we have a different Lagrange multipler because we are not maximizing for each parameter. We find

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu_{i\neq 1}} = 0 = \frac{n_{i\neq 1}}{\mu_{i\neq 1}} + \beta \to \boxed{\hat{\mu}_{i\neq 1} = -\frac{n_{i\neq 1}}{\beta}}$$
(20)

Now lets plug this into our constraint to find  $\beta$ 

$$0 = \mu_1 - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{4} \mu_i = \mu_1 - 1 - \frac{n_2 + n_3 + n_4}{\beta}$$
(21)

This tells us that  $\beta$  is a function of  $\mu_1$ , with

$$\beta = -\frac{N - n_1}{1 - \mu_1}$$

Now with our  $\beta,$  we can write that the maximally likely  $\hat{\mu}_{i\neq 1}$  given any  $\mu_1$  is simply

$$\hat{\hat{\mu}}_{i\neq 1}(\mu_1) = \frac{n_i(1-\mu_1)}{N-n_1}$$
(23)

Now we just have to plug these into our Likelihood function to find the maximally likely outcome given any  $\mu_1$ 

$$L(\mu_1, \hat{\hat{\mu}}_{i\neq 1}) = \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} \mu_1^{n_1} \hat{\mu}_2^{n_2} \hat{\hat{\mu}}_3^{n_3} \hat{\hat{\mu}}_4^{n_4}$$
(24)

Looking at the ratio and plugging in, the form simplifies to identically the binomial distribution!

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\mu_1, \hat{\hat{\mu}}_{i\neq 1})}{L(\hat{\mu})} = \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\hat{\mu}_1}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{1-\mu_1}{1-\hat{\mu}_1}\right)^{N-n_1}$$
(25)